

## 6. $L^p$ SPACES REVISION

### REFERENCE

W. RUDIN - "REAL AND COMPLEX ANALYSIS"

Mc GRAW - HILL, 2001

## MEASURE THEORY

### $\sigma$ -Algebra

Let  $\Omega$  be a SET. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . A collection  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is called a  **$\sigma$ -ALGEBRA** if

$$(1) \quad \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$(2) \quad A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ where } A^c := \Omega \setminus A$$

$$(3) \quad \text{If } \{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \text{ then } \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$$

The sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  are called **MEASURABLE**.

The pair  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  is called **MEASURE SPACE**

### NOTATION

If  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is a collection of sets, we denote by  $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$  the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  containing  $\mathcal{G}$ , that is,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{G}) := \bigcap \{ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is } \sigma\text{-algebra, } \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \}$$

### BOREL SETS

If  $\tau$  is a topology over  $\Omega$ , we call  $\sigma(\tau)$  the **BOREL  $\sigma$ -algebra**. The elements of  $\sigma(\tau)$  are called **BOREL SETS**

### MEASURES

A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is called a **MEASURE** if

$$(1) \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

COUNTABLY ADDITIVE  $\rightarrow$  (2)  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mu(A_n)$  whenever  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and they are pairwise disjoint, i.e.,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$

The triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called **MEASURABLE SPACE**

## TERMINOLOGY

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space.

- $\mu$  is called **COMPLETE** if for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then every  $F \subseteq E$  satisfies  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- $\mu$  is  **$\sigma$ -FINITE** if  $\exists \{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  
$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\Omega_n) < +\infty, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
- $\mu$  is **FINITE** if  $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$
- The sets  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\mu(E) = 0$  are called **NULL SETS**
- We say that a property holds  **$\mu$ -ALMOST EVERYWHERE** in  $\Omega$  (abbreviated in  $\mu$ -a.e.) if  $\exists E \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\mu(E) = 0$  and the property holds for all  $x \in \Omega \setminus E$ .

## OUTER MEASURES

$\Omega$  set. A set map  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is called **OUTER MEASURE** if

$$(a) \quad \mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$$

**Monotonic**  $\rightarrow$  (b)  $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(F)$  for all  $E \subseteq F \subseteq \Omega$

**Sub-additive**  $\rightarrow$  (c)  $\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mu^*(E_n)$ , for all  $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Omega$

To construct an outer measure we usually start with a family  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  of elementary sets (e.g. cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), for which we have a desired notion of measure  $\rho: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ .

PROPOSITION 6.1 Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ ,  $\rho: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ . Assume that

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\rho(\emptyset) = 0$ ,

- $\exists \{\Omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  s.t.  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$

Define  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  by

$$\mu^*(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \rho(E_n) \mid \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}, E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n \right\}$$

Then  $\mu^*$  is an OUTER MEASURE.

The problem with outer measures is that they are not additive on disjoint sets. To solve this problem, we restrict  $\mu^*$  on a smaller collection of sets  $\mathcal{A}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ :

$\mu^*$ -MEASURABLE SETS Given  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  outer measure, we say that  $E \subseteq \Omega$  is  $\mu^*$ -MEASURABLE IF

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(E \cap F) + \mu^*(E \cap F^c), \forall F \subseteq \Omega$$

THEOREM 6.2 (CARATHÉODORY)

Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and let  $\mu^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be an outer measure. Define

$$\mathcal{A}^* := \{ E \subseteq \Omega \mid E \text{ is } \mu^*\text{-measurable} \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mu^*: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a COMPLETE MEASURE.

## THE LEBESGUE MEASURE

On  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we can construct a particular measure called the LEBESGUE MEASURE.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $r > 0$ , define  $Q(x, r) := x + (-\frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2})^d$ , the CUBE of side length  $r$  centered at  $x$ . Introduce the collection of cubes  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as

$$\mathcal{G} := \{ Q(x, r) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0 \} \cup \{ \emptyset \}$$

and  $\rho: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  s.t.  $\rho(\emptyset) := 0$  and  $\rho(Q(x, r)) := r^d$ . We can then define  $\mathcal{I}_0^d: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_0^d(E) &:= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} r_i^d \mid E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{+\infty} Q(x_i, r_i) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \rho(E_i) \mid \{E_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}, E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{+\infty} E_i \right\} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., cover  $E$  with cubes and sum up the volumes (counting overlapping). Then take the smallest outcome.

By PROPOSITION 6.1 we have that  $\mathcal{I}_0^d$  is an outer measure, called the LEBESGUE OUTER MEASURE. It can be shown that

- $\mathcal{I}_0^d(Q(x, r)) = r^d$
- $\mathcal{I}_0^d$  is TRANSLATION INVARIANT:

$$\mathcal{I}_0^d(x+E) = \mathcal{I}_0^d(E), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$$

Define

$$\mathcal{I}^* := \{ E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \mid E \text{ is } \mathcal{I}_0^d\text{-measurable} \}$$

Then by THEOREM 6.2 we have that:

①  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, called the  $\sigma$ -ALGEBRA of LEBESGUE MEASURABLE SETS

②  $\mathcal{I}_0^d$  restricted to  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is a COMPLETE MEASURE. We denote it by  $\mathcal{I}^d$  and call it the  $d$ -DIMENSIONAL LEBESGUE MEASURE

Notice that  $\mathcal{I}^d$  is not FINITE ( $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{R}^d) = +\infty$ ) but it is  $\sigma$ -FINITE, since

$$\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} Q(0, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}^d(Q(0, n)) = n^d < +\infty.$$

Moreover, if we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  WRT the Euclidean topology, we have

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^*$$

i.e., all Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are Lebesgue measurable.

WARNING The inclusion  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^*$  is STRICT:  $\exists$  sets in  $\mathcal{I}^*$  which is not Borel measurable. Thus  $\mathcal{I}^d$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is not COMPLETE.

WARNING There exist sets  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  which are NOT Lebesgue measurable.

## INTEGRABILITY

On a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  we can define the notion of integrability.

## MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

Let  $X, Y$  be non-empty sets,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. A function  $u: X \rightarrow Y$  is **MEASURABLE** if

$$u^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{B}.$$

If  $X, Y$  are topological spaces and  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras then measurable functions are called **BOREL FUNCTIONS**.

## REMARK 6.3

① If  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measurable space and  $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, then

$$u \text{ is measurable} \iff u^{-1}((a, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for every } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If instead  $u: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  (always with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra) then

$$u \text{ is measurable} \iff u^{-1}((a, +\infty]) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for every } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

② If  $X, Y$  are topological spaces equipped with Borel  $\sigma$ -algebras then

$$u: X \rightarrow Y \text{ CONTINUOUS} \implies u \text{ Borel}$$

③ The composition of measurable functions is measurable. In particular if  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a measure space and  $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable, then  $u^p, |u|, cu$  and

$$u^+ := \begin{cases} u & \text{if } u(x) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u(x) < 0 \end{cases}, \quad u^- := \begin{cases} -u & \text{if } u(x) \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } u(x) > 0 \end{cases}$$

are all measurable, for  $p \geq 1, c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

④ Moreover if  $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable then  $u+\nu$ ,  $u\nu$ ,  $\min\{u, \nu\}$ ,  $\max\{u, \nu\}$  are measurable.

⑤ Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $u_n: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be measurable. Then the functions

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n, \quad \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u_n, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n$$

are measurable.

⑥ Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space. Assume that  $\mu$  is COMPLETE. If  $u_n: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  are measurable and

$$u(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n(x) \text{ exists for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X$$

then  $u$  is measurable.

⑦ Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  be measurable spaces and  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a measure. Suppose  $u: X \rightarrow Y$  is measurable. If  $\nu: X \rightarrow Y$  is s.t.

$$u(x) = \nu(x) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X$$

then  $\nu$  is also measurable.

We are now ready to introduce integrals. For a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  we define the **CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION** of  $E$  as

$$\chi_E(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin E \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\chi_E$  is measurable if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ .

## SIMPLE FUNCTIONS

$(X, \mathcal{A})$  measurable space. A **SIMPLE FUNCTION** is a measurable map  $s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s(X)$  is finite, i.e., there exist disjoint sets  $E_1, \dots, E_N \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}$  distinct, s.t.

$$(*) \quad s(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \chi_{E_i}(x), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

## THEOREM 6.4

$(X, \mathcal{A})$  measure space,  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  measurable. Then there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  of SIMPLE FUNCTIONS s.t.  
 $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots$  and  $s_n(x) \rightarrow \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

## LEBESGUE INTEGRAL

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space. The LEBESGUE INTEGRAL is defined in 3 steps:

① Let  $s \geq 0$  a step function of the form  $(*)$ . We define the LEBESGUE INTEGRAL of  $s$  on a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\int_E s(x) d\mu(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \mu(E \cap E_i)$$

where if  $c_i = 0$  and  $\mu(E \cap E_i) = +\infty$  we adopt the standard convention

$$c_i \mu(E \cap E_i) := 0.$$

② Let  $\mu: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a measurable function (note that  $\mu \geq 0$ ). The LEBESGUE INTEGRAL of  $\mu$  over a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  is defined as

$$\int_E \mu(x) d\mu(x) := \sup \left\{ \int_E s d\mu \mid s \text{ simple, } 0 \leq s \leq \mu \right\}$$

(This is well posed thanks to THEOREM 6.4)



③ Let  $u: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be measurable. Note that  $u = u^+ - u^-$  with  $u^+, u^- \geq 0$ . The LEBESGUE INTEGRAL of  $u$  over a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  is defined

$$\int_E u(x) d\mu := \int_E u^+ d\mu - \int_E u^- d\mu$$

If  $\int_E u^+ d\mu$  and  $\int_E u^- d\mu$  are FINITE then  $u$  is said to be

LEBESGUE INTEGRABLE WRT  $\mu$ .

**REMARK** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space. Let  $u, v: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be measurable.

① If  $0 \leq u \leq v$  then  $\int_E u d\mu \leq \int_E v d\mu, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$

② If  $c \in [0, +\infty]$ , then  $\int_E cu d\mu = c \int_E u d\mu, \forall E \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $0 \cdot (\pm\infty) := 0$ )

③ Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $u \geq 0$ . Then  $\int_E u d\mu = 0$  iff  $u(x) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in E$ .

④ If  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$  then  $\int_E u d\mu = 0$

⑤ If  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $\int_E u d\mu = \int_X \chi_E u d\mu$

⑥  $u$  is LEBESGUE INTEGRABLE iff  $\int_E |u| d\mu < +\infty$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ .

⑦ If  $u$  is LEBESGUE INTEGRABLE then

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |u(x)| = +\infty\}) = 0.$$

⑧ If  $u, v$  are integrable and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $\alpha u + \beta v$  is integrable, and

$$\int_X (\alpha u + \beta v) d\mu = \alpha \int_X u d\mu + \beta \int_X v d\mu.$$

⑨ If  $u, v$  are integrable and  $u = v$   $\mu$ -a.e. in  $X$ , then

$$\int_X u d\mu = \int_X v d\mu$$

⑩ If  $u$  is integrable then

$$\left| \int_X u d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |u| d\mu$$

## UNFORGETTABLE THEOREMS

We recall a few theorems concerning the Lebesgue integral:

### THEOREM 6.5 (MONOTONE CONVERGENCE)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space, and  $u_n: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  s.t.

- ①  $u_n$  is measurable  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$
- ②  $0 \leq u_1(x) \leq u_2(x) \leq \dots$  for all  $x \in X$
- ③  $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for all  $x \in X$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X u_n d\mu = \int_X u d\mu$$

### THEOREM 6.6 (FATOU'S LEMMA)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space. If  $u_n: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a sequence of measurable functions, then

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n(x) d\mu(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X u_n(x) d\mu(x)$$

### THEOREM 6.7 (DOMINATED CONVERGENCE)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space and  $u_n: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  a sequence of measurable functions. Suppose that:

①  $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$

②  $\exists v$  Lebesgue integrable such that

$$|u_n(x)| \leq v(x), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$

Then  $u$  is Lebesgue integrable and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X |u_n - u| d\mu = 0$$

### THEOREM 6.8 (JENSEN'S INEQUALITY)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be measurable space, with  $\mu(X) = 1$ , and let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be CONVEX. For all  $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  integrable we have

$$\varphi \left( \int_X u d\mu \right) \leq \int_X \varphi \circ u d\mu.$$

Finally we recall FUBINI'S and TONELLI'S THEOREMS. We first need:

**PRODUCT MEASURE** Let  $(X_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$ ,  $(X_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \mu_2)$  be measurable spaces. On the cartesian product  $X_1 \times X_2$  define the **PRODUCT  $\sigma$ -ALGEBRA**

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 := \bigcap \left\{ \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X_1 \times X_2) \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra, } (E_1 \times E_2) \in \mathcal{A}, \forall E_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \right\}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X_1 \times X_2$  containing all the sets of the form  $E_1 \times E_2$  with  $E_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$ . Whenever  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are  **$\sigma$ -FINITE**, there exists a unique measure  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 : \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(E_1 \times E_2) = \mu_1(E_1) \mu_2(E_2), \quad \forall E_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$$

(it can be constructed via PROP 6.1 and THM 6.2). The measure  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  is called **PRODUCT MEASURE** between  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .

**NOTE** For the Lebesgue measure it holds that  $\int d_1 \otimes d_2 = \int d_1 + d_2$ .

### **THEOREM 6.9 (TONELLI)**

Let  $(X_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$ ,  $(X_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \mu_2)$  be measurable spaces, with  $\mu_1, \mu_2$   $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $u : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be measurable WRT  $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ , and s.t.

(a) For  $\mu_1$ -a.e.  $x \in X_1$  the map  $y \in X_2 \mapsto u(x, y)$  is measurable and it holds

$$\int_{X_2} |u(x, y)| d\mu_2(y) < +\infty \quad \text{for } \mu_1\text{-a.e. } x \in X_1$$

$$(b) \int_{X_1} \left( \int_{X_2} |u(x, y)| d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) < +\infty$$

Then  $u$  is **INTEGRABLE** WRT the product measure  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ .

## THEOREM 6.10 (FUBINI)

Let  $(X_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$ ,  $(X_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \mu_2)$  be measurable spaces, with  $\mu_1, \mu_2$   $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $u: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  be measurable WRT  $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  and integrable WRT  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ . Then

① For  $\mu_1$ -a.e.  $x \in X_1$  the map  $y \in X_2 \mapsto u(x, y)$  is measurable and

$$\int_{X_2} |u(x, y)| d\mu_2(y) < +\infty, \quad \int_{X_1} \left\{ \int_{X_2} |u(x, y)| d\mu_2(y) \right\} d\mu_1(x) < +\infty$$

② For  $\mu_2$ -a.e.  $y \in X_2$  the map  $x \in X_1 \mapsto u(x, y)$  is measurable and

$$\int_{X_1} |u(x, y)| d\mu_1(x) < +\infty, \quad \int_{X_2} \left\{ \int_{X_1} |u(x, y)| d\mu_1(x) \right\} d\mu_2(y) < +\infty$$

③ The so-called FUBINI'S FORMULA holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_1 \times X_2} |u(x, y)| d(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(x, y) &= \int_{X_1} \left\{ \int_{X_2} |u(x, y)| d\mu_2(y) \right\} d\mu_1(x) \\ &= \int_{X_2} \left\{ \int_{X_1} |u(x, y)| d\mu_1(x) \right\} d\mu_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

## $L^p$ SPACES

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measurable space. For  $p \geq 1$  we set

$$L^p(X, \mu) := \left\{ u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \text{ measurable, } \int_X |u|^p d\mu < +\infty \right\}$$

In other words,  $u \in L^p(X, \mu)$  iff  $u$  is  $\mu$ -INTEGRABLE.

For the case  $p = +\infty$  we have an ad-hoc definition

$$L^\infty(X, \mu) := \{ u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \text{ measurable, } \exists C > 0 \text{ s.t. } |u(x)| \leq C \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e. in } X \}$$

The condition  $|u(x)| \leq C$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X$  is called **ESSENTIAL BOUNDEDNESS**.

**WARNING** The elements of  $L^p(X, \mu)$  and  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  are NOT functions, but classes of equivalence of functions, where the equivalence is

$$u \sim v \iff u(x) = v(x) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X$$

This is not an issue, since in this case  $\int_X u \, d\mu = \int_X v \, d\mu$ .

Therefore  $L^p(X, \mu)$  and  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  have to be understood as

**QUOTIENT SPACES WRT  $\sim$**

**THEOREM 6.11** Let  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$  and define the **CONJUGATE EXPONENT**  
 $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$ . If  $u \in L^p(X, \mu)$ ,  $v \in L^{p'}(X, \mu)$  then

$$uv \in L^1(X, \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \|uv\|_1 \leq \|u\|_p \|v\|_{p'}$$

**HÖLDER'S INEQUALITY**

**THEOREM 6.12**  $L^p(X, \mu)$ ,  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  are Banach spaces with the norms

$$\|u\|_p := \left( \int_X |u|^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad u \in L^p(X, \mu)$$

$$\|u\|_\infty := \inf \{ C : |u(x)| \leq C \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e. in } X \}, \quad u \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$$

Moreover  $L^2(X, \mu)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_X uv \, d\mu, \quad u, v \in L^2(X, \mu)$$

A standard corollary of the proof of THEOREM 6.12 is the following.

### PROPOSITION 6.13

Let  $\{u_n\} \subseteq L^p(X, \mu)$  and suppose  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly. Then there exist a subsequence  $u_{n_k}$  and  $h \in L^p(X, \mu)$  s.t.

$$(a) \quad u_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow u(x) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X$$

$$(b) \quad \sup_k |u_{n_k}(x)| \leq h(x) \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X$$

### THEOREM 6.14 (DUALITY)

Let  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Then  $L^p(X, \mu)^* \cong L^{p'}(X, \mu)$ , with isometry

$$\begin{aligned} L^{p'}(X, \mu) &\rightarrow L^p(X, \mu)^* \\ u &\mapsto \left( v \mapsto \int_X uv \, d\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, as  $(p')' = p$ , we have that  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is REFLEXIVE.

Also  $L^1(X, \mu)^* \cong L^\infty(X, \mu)$ .

**WARNING** It is NOT TRUE that  $L^\infty(X, \mu)^* \cong L^1(X, \mu)$ .

We now recall a result about SEPARABILITY of  $L^p$  spaces. We need first the following definition

### SEPARABLE MEASURE SPACE

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a SEPARABLE measure space, i.e.,  $\exists \{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\sigma(\{E_n\}) = \mathcal{A}$ , where

$$\sigma(\{E_n\}) := \left\{ \mathcal{M} \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ is } \sigma\text{-algebra on } X, \{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \right\},$$

i.e.,  $\sigma(\{E_n\})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  which contains  $\{E_n\}$ .

### EXAMPLE

- $\mathbb{R}^d$  is separable with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{I}^*)$  is separable, where  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets
- $(X, d)$  separable metric space,  $\tau_d$  topology induced by  $d$ .  
Then  $(X, \sigma(\tau_d))$  is a separable measure space.

### THEOREM 6.15 (SEPARABILITY)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a SEPARABLE measure space. Then  $L^p(X, \mu)$  equipped with the standard norm is SEPARABLE, for all  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ .  
The space  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  is in general NOT separable.

We summarize the above results in a table

	REFLEXIVE	SEPARABLE	DUAL SPACE
$L^p$ with $1 < p < +\infty$	YES	YES	$L^{p'}$
$L^1$	NO	YES	$L^\infty$
$L^\infty$	NO	NO	Strictly bigger than $L^1$

Finally we conclude with a useful density result:

### THEOREM 6.16

Consider  $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{I}^*, \mathcal{I}^d)$ , where  $\mathcal{I}^*$  is the LEBESGUE  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{I}^d$  is the  $d$ -dimensional LEBESGUE MEASURE. Let  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ . Then  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.,

$$\forall u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists v \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ s.t. } \|u - v\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$



## STRONG COMPACTNESS IN $L^p$

We conclude with a STRONG COMPACTNESS criterion for  $L^p$  spaces. To this end, given  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the SHIFT of  $f$  by  $h$  as the function  $T_h f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(T_h f)(x) := f(x+h), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

### THEOREM 6.17 (FRÉCHET - KOLMOGOROV)

Let  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $A \subseteq L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For a measurable set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  with finite measure, we denote by  $A|_\Omega$  the restrictions to  $\Omega$  of the functions in  $A$ , i.e.,

$$A|_\Omega = \left\{ v: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists u \in A \text{ s.t. } v = u|_\Omega \right\}.$$

Assume that

①  $A$  is **BOUNDED**: i.e.,  $\exists M > 0$  s.t.  $\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq M$ ,  $\forall u \in A$

②  $A$  is **EQUI-INTEGRABLE**: i.e.,

$$\lim_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sup_{u \in A} \|T_h u - u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\} = 0.$$

Then the closure of  $A|_\Omega$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  is **COMPACT**, i.e., if  $\{u_n\} \subseteq \overline{A|_\Omega}$ ,  $\exists \bar{u} \in \overline{A|_\Omega}$  and a subsequence  $n_k$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow \bar{u} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty \text{ strongly in } L^p(\Omega)$$

## OTHER MEASURE THEORETIC RESULTS

### THEOREM 6.18 (ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF LEBESGUE INTEGRAL)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $u \in L^1(X; \mu)$ . Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$\mu(E) < \delta \Rightarrow \left| \int_E u \, d\mu \right| < \varepsilon.$$

### THEOREM 6.19 (EGOROFF)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, with  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ .

Suppose  $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence s.t.

$$f_n \rightarrow f \quad \text{a.e. in } X$$

Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists E_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\mu(E_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $X \setminus E_\varepsilon$ , i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in X \setminus E_\varepsilon} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0.$$

### THEOREM 6.20 (LUSIN)

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|\Omega| < +\infty$ . Let  $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lebesgue measurable.

Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists K \subseteq \Omega$  compact such that

$$|\Omega \setminus K| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad u|_K \text{ is CONTINUOUS.}$$